arXiv:math/0306293v1 [math.QA] 19 Jun 2003

On certain categories of modules for affine Lie algebras

Haisheng Li[1]
Department of Mathematical Sciences, Rutgers University, Camden, NJ 08102
and
Department of Mathematics, Harbin Normal University, Harbin, China

Abstract

In this paper, we re-examine certain integrable modules of Chari-Presslely for an (untwisted) affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ by exploiting basic formal variable techniques. We define and study two categories $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules using generating functions, where $\mathcal{E}$ contains evaluation modules and $\mathcal{C}$ unifies highest weight modules, evaluation modules and their tensor product modules, and we classify integrable irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules in categories $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{C}$.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra equipped with the Killing form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ which is suitably normalized. Associated to the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ we have the (untwisted) affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ (without the degree derivation added). For affine algebras, a very important class of modules is the class of highest weight modules (cf. [K1]) in the well known category $\mathcal{O}$, where highest weight integrable (irreducible) modules (of nonnegative integral levels) have been the main focus. We also have another class of modules, called evaluation modules (of level zero) associated with a finite number of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules and with the same number of nonzero complex numbers, studied by Chari and Presslely in [CP2] (cf. [CP1], [CP3]). Furthermore, Chari and Presslely in [CP2] studied the first time the tensor product module of an integrable highest weight $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module with a (finite-dimensional) evaluation $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module associated with finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-modules and distinct nonzero complex numbers. (Such a tensor product module is integrable as the tensor product module of any two integrable modules is integrable.) A surprising result, proved in [CP2], is that such a tensor product module is also irreducible. In this way, a new family of integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules were constructed.

We know that integrable highest weight $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules are exactly the irreducible integrable modules in the well-known category $\mathcal{O}$ (see [K1]) and that irreducible integrable evaluation modules are exactly the finite-dimensional irreducible modules (see [C], [CP2]). In view of this, naturally one would want to find a canonical characterization for the new integrable modules, *instead of presenting them as tensor product modules*. This is part of our motivation for this paper. Part of our motivation is to look for canonical connections

---

[1] Partially supported by a NSA grant and a grant from Rutgers Research Council

of the new integrable modules with modules and fusion rules for affine vertex operator algebras.

In this paper, we give a canonical characterization of the new integrable modules using generating functions and formal calculus. Notice that highest weight $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules belong to a bigger class of modules called restricted modules, where a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module W is said to be *restricted* (cf. [K1]) if for any $a \in \mathfrak{g},\ w \in W$, $(a \otimes t^n)w = 0$ for n sufficiently large. In terms of generating functions, a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module W is restricted if and only if $a(x)w \in W((x))$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g},\ w \in W$, where $a(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}(a \otimes t^n)x^{-n-1}$ (the generating function). For an evaluation module U (see [CP2]), we show that there is a nonzero polynomial $p(x)$ such that $p(x)a(x)u = 0$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g},\ u \in U$. Then $p(x)a(x)v \in (W \otimes U)((x))$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g},\ v \in W \otimes U$. Motivated by these facts, we define a category $\mathcal{E}$ to consist of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules W such that there exists a nonzero polynomial $p(x)$ such that $p(x)a(x)w = 0$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g},\ w \in W$ and we define a category $\mathcal{C}$ to consist of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules W such that there exists a nonzero polynomial $f(x)$ such that $f(x)a(x)w \in W((x))$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g},\ w \in W$. Then category $\mathcal{E}$ contains all the evaluation modules and category $\mathcal{C}$ contains all the restricted modules, the evaluation modules and their tensor products, so that category $\mathcal{C}$ unifies all the mentioned modules. In this paper we prove that the irreducible integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules in the category $\mathcal{E}$ are exactly the finite-dimensional irreducible evaluation modules up to isomorphism. (This result is analogous and closely related to a result of Chari-Presslely [C], [CP2].) It was proved in [DLM] that every restricted integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module is a direct sum of highest weight irreducible integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules. As our main result of this paper we prove that the irreducible integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules in the category $\mathcal{C}$ up to isomorphism are exactly the tensor product modules of highest weight irreducible integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules with finite-dimensional irreducible evaluation modules. The key to our main result is a factorization result which states that every irreducible representation of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ in the category $\mathcal{C}$ can be factorized canonically as the product of two representations of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that the first representation defines a restricted module and the second one defines a module in the category $\mathcal{E}$. The proof of this factorization uses formal calculus in an essential way.

It is well known (cf. [Li2], [LL]) that restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules are closely related to affine vertex operator algebras and their modules. But the tensor product $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules in the category $\mathcal{C}$ is not a module for the affine vertex operator algebra. In this paper, by using a result of [Li3] we show that if W and W_1 are highest weight integrable irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules of the same level and $U(z)$ is a finite-dimensional evaluation module, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(W \otimes U(z), \overline{W_2})$ gives the fusion rule of a certain type as generally defined in [FHL] in terms of vertex operator algebras and their modules.

In this paper, most of the results are proved in the generality that $\mathfrak{g}$ is only assumed to be of countable dimension, so those results in fact hold for toroidal Lie algebras.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, in the first half we review the definitions and examples of restricted modules and evaluation modules for affine Lie algebras and we recall certain results of Chari-Presslely. In the second half we define categories $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ and we give slight generalizations of Chari-Presslely's results. In Section 3, we classify the irreducible integrable modules in the categories $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{C}$. In Section 4, we give a connection between the tensor product module of a highest weight irreducible integrable module with an evaluation module and fusion rules of certain types.

2 Categories $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ of modules for affine Lie algebras

In this section we review the definitions and examples of restricted modules and evaluation modules for an affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. We define a category $\mathcal{E}$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules, including evaluation modules, and we define a category $\mathcal{C}$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules, including restricted modules, evaluation modules and their tensor product modules. We give a generalization of certain results of Chari and Presslely ([C], [CP2]) with a different proof using formal calculus.

First let us fix some formal variable notations (see [FLM], [FHL], [LL]). Throughout this paper, $x, x_1, x_2, \dots$ are independent mutually commuting formal variables. We shall typically use $z, z_1, z_2, \dots$ for complex numbers. For a vector space U, $U[[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]]$ denotes the space of all formal (possibly doubly infinite) series in $x_1, \dots, x_n$ with coefficients in U, $U((x_1, \dots, x_n))$ denotes the space of all formal (lower truncated) Laurent series in $x_1, \dots, x_n$ with coefficients in U and $U[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ denotes the space of all formal (nonnegative) powers series in $x_1, \dots, x_n$ with coefficients in U.

Remark 2.1. As it was pointed out in [FLM] (cf. [LL]), in formal calculus, associativity law and cancellation law for products of formal series *do not* hold in general, but they *do hold* if all the involved (sub)products exist. For example, if $a(x) \in U((x))$, $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x))$, we have

$$f(x)(g(x)a(x)) = (f(x)g(x))a(x).$$

For $a(x), b(x) \in U((x))$, if $h(x)a(x) = h(x)b(x)$ for some $h(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x))$, then $a(x) = b(x)$.

We shall use the traditional binomial expansion convention: For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(x_1 \pm x_2)^m = \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{m}{i} (\pm 1)^i x_1^{m-i} x_2^i \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_1^{-1}][[x_2]]. \tag{2.1}$$

Recall from [FLM] the formal delta function

$$\delta(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x^n \in \mathbb{C}[[x, x^{-1}]]. \tag{2.2}$$

Its fundamental property is that

$$f(x)\delta(x) = f(1)\delta(x) \quad \text{for } f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}]. \tag{2.3}$$

For any nonzero complex number z,

$$\delta\left(\frac{z}{x}\right)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} z^{n}x^{-n}\in\mathbb{C}[[x,x^{-1}]] \tag{2.4}$$

and we have

$$f(x)\delta\left(\frac{z}{x}\right)=f(z)\delta\left(\frac{z}{x}\right)\quad \text{for } f(x)\in\mathbb{C}[x,x^{-1}]. \tag{2.5}$$

In particular,

$$(x-z)\delta\left(\frac{z}{x}\right)=0. \tag{2.6}$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra (not necessarily finite-dimensional) equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$, fixed throughout this section. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the corresponding (untwisted) affine Lie algebra, i.e.,

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{k} \tag{2.7}$$

with the defining commutator relations

$$[a\otimes t^{m},b\otimes t^{n}]=[a,b]\otimes t^{m+n}+m\langle a,b\rangle\delta_{m+n,0}\mathbf{k}\quad \text{for } a,b\in\mathfrak{g},\ m,n\in\mathbb{Z} \tag{2.8}$$

and with $\mathbf{k}$ as a nonzero central element. A $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module W is said to be of *level* ℓ in $\mathbb{C}$ if the central element $\mathbf{k}$ acts on W as the scalar ℓ. By the *standard untwisted affine algebra* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ we mean the affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with $\mathfrak{g}$ a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra and with $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ the normalized Killing form so that the squared length of the longest roots is 2.

For $a\in\mathfrak{g}$, form the generating function

$$a(x)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}(a\otimes t^{n})x^{-n-1}\in\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[[x,x^{-1}]]. \tag{2.9}$$

In terms of generating functions the defining relations (2.8) exactly amount to

$$[a(x_1),b(x_2)]=[a,b](x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right)+\langle a,b\rangle\mathbf{k}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right). \tag{2.10}$$

Following the tradition (cf. [FLM], [LL]), for $a\in\mathfrak{g},\ n\in\mathbb{Z}$ we shall use $a(n)$ for the corresponding operator associated to $a\otimes t^{n}$ on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules. We now introduce the category $\mathcal{R}$ of the so-called restricted modules for the affine algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. A $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module W is said to be *restricted* (cf. [K1]) if for any $w\in W,\ a\in\mathfrak{g}$,

$$a(n)w=0\quad \text{for } n \text{ sufficiently large.} \tag{2.11}$$

We define a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \coprod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{(n)}$ by

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{(0)} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{(n)} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{-n} \quad \text{for } n \neq 0, \tag{2.12}$$

making $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie algebra. It is clear that any $\mathbb{N}$-graded $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module is automatically a restricted module.

Let U be a $\mathfrak{g}$-module and let ℓ be any complex number. Let $\mathbf{k}$ act on U as the scalar ℓ and let $\mathfrak{g} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t]$ act trivially, making U a $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k})$-module. Form the following induced $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module

$$M_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, U) = U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{k})} U. \tag{2.13}$$

Endow U with zero degree, making $M_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, U)$ an $\mathbb{N}$-graded $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module. This in particular implies that $M_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, U)$ is a restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module. This $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module is commonly called the *Weyl module* or the *generalized Verma module associated with* $\mathfrak{g}$*-module* U. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra and if U is a (highest weight) Verma $\mathfrak{g}$-module, then $M_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, U)$ is isomorphic to a (highest weight) Verma $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module (cf. [K1]). Furthermore, any (highest weight) Verma $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module is isomorphic to a module of the form $M_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, U)$. A homomorphic image of a Verma $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module is called a *highest weight module*. Then the category $\mathcal{R}$ contains all the highest weight modules for the standard affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

For the affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, we also have another family of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules, called the evaluation modules (see [CP2]). Let U be a $\mathfrak{g}$-module and let z be a nonzero complex number. Define an action of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ on U by

$$a(n) \cdot u = z^n (au) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},\ n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.14}$$

$$\mathbf{k} \cdot U = 0. \tag{2.15}$$

Then U equipped with the defined action is a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module (of level zero) (see [CP2]), which is denoted by $U(z)$. If U is an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-module, it is clear that $U(z)$ is an irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module. More generally, let $U_1, \dots, U_r$ be $\mathfrak{g}$-modules and let $z_1, \dots, z_r$ be nonzero complex numbers. Then $U = U_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_r$ is a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module where $\mathbf{k}$ acts as zero and

$$a(n)(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} z_i^n (u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes au_i \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r) \tag{2.16}$$

for $a \in \mathfrak{g},\ n \in \mathbb{Z},\ u_i \in U_i$. This module is nothing but the tensor product $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module $\otimes_{i=1}^{r} U_i(z_i)$. Such a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module is called an *evaluation module*. The following results are due to Chari and Presslely (see [C] and [CP2]):

Theorem 2.2. *Let* $\mathfrak{g}$ *be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra. Let* $U_1, \dots, U_r$ *be (finite-dimensional) irreducible* $\mathfrak{g}$*-modules and let* $z_1, \dots, z_r$ *be distinct nonzero complex numbers. Then* $\otimes_{i=1}^{r} U_i(z_i)$ *is a (finite-dimensional) irreducible* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$*-module of level zero. Furthermore, every finite-dimensional irreducible* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$*-module is isomorphic to such a* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$*-module.*

Remark 2.3. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra. We fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ and denote by Δ the set of roots, so that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. We also fix a choice of set Δ_+ of positive roots and denote by θ the highest long root. Let $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ be the normalized Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\langle\theta,\theta\rangle = 2$. A $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module W is said to be *integrable* (see [K1], [K2]) if $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha(n)$ acts locally nilpotently on W for $\alpha \in \Delta,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then (see [K2]) the subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ acts semisimply on W. If W is an irreducible integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module, the central element $\mathbf{k}$ acts on W as a scalar ℓ in $\mathbb{N}$. A *singular vector* of a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module W of level ℓ is a (nonzero) $\mathfrak{h}$-eigenvector u such that $a(n)u = 0$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g},\ n > 0$ and $a(0)u = 0$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}_+$. A known fact is that the submodule of an integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module generated by a singular vector is irreducible (see [K1]).

The following result was established by Chari and Presslely in [CP2]:

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a standard affine Lie algebra (with $\mathfrak{g}$ a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ the normalized Killing form). Let W be an irreducible highest weight integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module, let $U_1,\dots,U_r$ be finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-modules and let $z_1,\dots,z_r$ be distinct nonzero complex numbers. Then the tensor product $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module $W\otimes U_1(z_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes U_r(z_r)$ is irreducible.*

Note that a restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module is defined canonically by the property (2.11) while typical evaluation $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules are finite-dimensional. Then naturally one would want to find a canonical characterization for the new family of (tensor product) $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules $W \otimes U_1(z_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes U_r(z_r)$. In the following we give a characterization in terms of generating functions.

First consider restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules (in the category $\mathcal{R}$). Note that the condition (2.11) amounts to that

$$a(x)w \in W((x)) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},\ w \in W. \tag{2.17}$$

That is, a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module W is restricted if and only if

$$a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x))) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{2.18}$$

Then we consider evaluation $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules. Let $U_1,\dots,U_r$ be $\mathfrak{g}$-modules and $z_1,\dots,z_r$ nonzero complex numbers. For $a \in \mathfrak{g},\ u_i \in U_i(z_i) = U_i$, writing (2.16) in terms of generating functions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(x)(u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes u_r) &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{i=1}^{r} z_i^n x^{-n-1}(u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes au_i\otimes\cdots\otimes u_r) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{r} x^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_i}{x}\right)(u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes au_i\otimes\cdots\otimes u_r). \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Since $(x-z_i)\delta\left(\frac{z_i}{x}\right) = 0$ for $i = 1,\dots,r$, we get $(x-z_1)\cdots(x-z_r)a(x)(u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes u_r) = 0$. In view of this and (2.17) we immediately have:

Lemma 2.5. *Let $U_1, \dots, U_r$ be $\mathfrak{g}$-modules and let $z_1, \dots, z_r$ be nonzero complex numbers. Then on the tensor product $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module $U_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes U_r(z_r)$,*

$$(x - z_1) \cdots (x - z_r)a(x) = 0 \quad \textit{for } a \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{2.20}$$

Furthermore, for any restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module W, we have

$$(x - z_1) \cdots (x - z_r)a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(M, M((x))) \quad \textit{for } a \in \mathfrak{g}, \tag{2.21}$$

where M denotes the tensor product $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module $W \otimes U_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes U_r(z_r)$.

Motivated by Lemma 2.5, we define the following two categories:

Definition 2.6. We define a category $\mathcal{E}$ to consist of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules W for which there exists a nonzero polynomial $p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ such that

$$p(x)a(x)w = 0 \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},\ w \in W. \tag{2.22}$$

We define category $\mathcal{C}$ to consist of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules W such that there exists a nonzero polynomial $p(x)$ such that

$$p(x)a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x))) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{2.23}$$

Remark 2.7. In view of Lemma 2.5, all the evaluation $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules belong to the category $\mathcal{E}$ and all the restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules, evaluation $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules and tensor products of restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules with evaluation $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules belong to the category $\mathcal{C}$.

Remark 2.8. In [C], Chari defined a category $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules and classified all the irreducible modules and all the integrable modules in this category. Furthermore, Chari-Presslely proved in [CP2] that irreducible integrable modules in category $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ are exactly the finite-dimensional evaluation modules up to isomorphism. The categories $\mathcal{E}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ are closely related, but they are different.

Lemma 2.9. *The central element $\mathbf{k}$ acts as zero on any $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module in the category $\mathcal{E}$.*

Proof. Let W be a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module in the category $\mathcal{E}$ with a nonzero polynomial $p(x)$ such that $p(x)u(x) = 0$ on W for $u \in \mathfrak{g}$. If $p(x)$ is of degree zero, we have $u(x) = 0$ for $u \in \mathfrak{g}$, i.e., $u(n) = 0$ for $u \in \mathfrak{g}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In view of the commutator relation (2.8) we see that $\mathbf{k}$ must be zero on W. Assume that $p(x)$ is not a constant, that is, $p'(x) \neq 0$. Let $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$ be such that $\langle a, b \rangle = 1$. (Notice that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is assumed to be nondegenerate.) Using the commutator relations (2.10) we get

$$0 = p(x_1)p(x_2)[a(x_1), b(x_2)] = \mathbf{k}p(x_1)p(x_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right). \tag{2.24}$$

Noticing that

$$\operatorname{Res}_{x_2} p(x_1)p(x_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) = -\operatorname{Res}_{x_2} p(x_1)p'(x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) = -p(x_1)p'(x_1), \quad (2.25)$$

we get $\mathbf{k}p(x_1)p'(x_1) = 0$, which implies that $\mathbf{k} = 0$ on W. □

In the following we give a slight generalization of Theorems 2.2 and 2.4. First recall from [Li2] (Lemmas 2.10 and 2.11) the following result (which might be well known, but we do not know any other reference):

Lemma 2.10. *Let A_1 and A_2 be associative algebras (with identity) and let U_1 and U_2 be irreducible modules for A_1 and A_2, respectively. If either $\operatorname{End}_{A_1}U_1 = \mathbb{C}$, or A_1 is of countable dimension, then $U_1 \otimes U_2$ is an irreducible $A_1 \otimes A_2$-module.*

The following result slightly generalizes the first assertion of Theorem 2.2 of Chari and Presslely with a slightly different proof:

Proposition 2.11. *Assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is of countable dimension. Let $U_1, \dots, U_r$ be irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-modules and let $z_1, \dots, z_r$ be distinct nonzero complex numbers. Then $U_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes U_r(z_r)$ is an irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module.*

Proof. Notice that the universal enveloping algebra $U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is of countable dimension. It follows from Lemma 2.10 (and induction) that $U_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes U_r(z_r)$ is an irreducible module for the product Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ (r copies). Denote by π the representation homomorphism map. For $1 \le i \le r$, denote by ψ_i the i-th embedding of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ into $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ (r copies) and denote by ψ the diagonal map from $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ to $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ (r copies). Then $\psi = \psi_1 + \cdots + \psi_r$. We also extend the linear maps ψ and $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_r$ on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[[x, x^{-1}]]$ canonically.

For $1 \le i \le r$, set $p_i(x) = \prod_{j \ne i}(x - z_j)/(z_i - z_j)$. Then

$$p_i(x)\delta\left(\frac{z_j}{x}\right) = p_i(z_j)\delta\left(\frac{z_j}{x}\right) = \delta_{i,j}\delta\left(\frac{z_j}{x}\right) \quad (2.26)$$

for $i, j = 1, \dots, r$. Using (2.19) we have that on $U_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes U_r(z_r)$,

$$p_i(x)\pi\psi_j(a(x)) = \delta_{i,j}\pi\psi_j(a(x)) \quad \text{for } 1 \le i, j \le r, \ a \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (2.27)$$

Thus on $U_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes U_r(z_r)$,

$$p_i(x)\pi\psi(a(x)) = \pi\psi_i(a(x)) \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le r, \ a \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad (2.28)$$

which implies that

$$\pi\psi_i(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) \subset \pi\psi(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, r. \quad (2.29)$$

From this we have

$$\pi\psi(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) = \pi\psi_1(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) + \cdots + \pi\psi_r(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}). \quad (2.30)$$

It follows that $U_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes U_r(z_r)$ is an irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module. □

We also have the following result which generalizes Theorem 2.4 of Chari and Presslely with a different proof:

Proposition 2.12. *Assume that* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is of countable dimension. Let* W *be an irreducible restricted* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$*-module (in the category* $\mathcal{R}$*) and let* U *be an irreducible* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$*-module in the category* $\mathcal{E}$*. Then the tensor product module* $W \otimes U$ *is irreducible.*

Proof. Let M be any nonzero submodule of the tensor product $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module $W \otimes U$. We must prove that $M = W \otimes U$. Since W and U are irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules and $U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is of countable dimension, by Lemma 2.10 $W \otimes U$ is an irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module. Now, it suffices to prove that M is a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-submodule of $W \otimes U$ and furthermore it suffices to prove that

$$(a(n) \otimes 1)M \subset M \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},\ n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.31}$$

(Notice that $(1 \otimes a(n))w = a(n)w - (a(n) \otimes 1)w$ for $w \in M$.)

By definition there exists a nonzero polynomial $p(x)$ such that $p(x)a(x) = 0$ on U for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, so that

$$p(x)(a(x) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a(x)) = p(x)a(x) \otimes 1 \quad \text{on } \ W \otimes U. \tag{2.32}$$

With M being a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-submodule of the tensor product module and with W being a restricted module we have

$$p(x)(a(x) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a(x))M \subset M[[x, x^{-1}]], \quad p(x)(a(x) \otimes 1)M \subset (W \otimes U)((x)). \tag{2.33}$$

From this, using (2.32) we have

$$p(x)(a(x) \otimes 1)M \subset M((x)) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{2.34}$$

Let $f(x)$ be the formal Laurent series of rational function $1/p(x)$ at zero, so that $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x))$. Then we have

$$a(x) \otimes 1 = (f(x)p(x))(a(x) \otimes 1) = f(x)(p(x)(a(x) \otimes 1))$$

on M. Consequently,

$$(a(x) \otimes 1)M \subset M((x)) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{2.35}$$

This proves (2.31), completing the proof. □

The following result tells us when two $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules of the form $W \otimes U$ obtained in Proposition 2.12 are isomorphic:

Proposition 2.13. *Let* W_1, W_2 *be irreducible* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$*-modules in category* $\mathcal{R}$ *and let* U_1 *and* U_2 *be irreducible* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$*-modules in category* $\mathcal{E}$*. Then the tensor product* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$*-modules* $W_1 \otimes U_1$ *and* $W_2 \otimes U_2$ *are isomorphic if and only if* W_1 *and* U_1 *are isomorphic to* W_2 *and* U_2*, respectively.*

Proof. We only need to prove the "only if" part. Let f be a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module isomorphism from $W_1 \otimes U_1$ onto $W_2 \otimes U_2$. We have

$$f(a(x) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a(x))v = (a(x) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a(x))f(v) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},\ v \in W_1 \otimes U_1. \tag{2.36}$$

Let $p(x)$ be a nonzero polynomial such that

$$p(x)a(x)U_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p(x)a(x)U_2 = 0 \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Using this and (2.36) we get

$$p(x)f((a(x) \otimes 1)v) = p(x)(a(x) \otimes 1)f(v) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},\ v \in W_1 \otimes U_1. \tag{2.37}$$

In view of Remark 2.1, we have

$$f((a(x) \otimes 1)v) = (a(x) \otimes 1)f(v) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},\ v \in W_1 \otimes U_1. \tag{2.38}$$

Let u_2^i for $i \in S$ be a basis of U_2. Then $W_2 \otimes U_2 = \coprod_{i \in S} W_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}u_2^i$. Denote by ϕ_i the projection of $W_2 \otimes U_2$ onto $W_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}u_2^i$. We have

$$\phi_i(a(x) \otimes 1)w = (a(x) \otimes 1)\phi_i(w) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},\ w \in W_2 \otimes U_2,$$

so that

$$\phi_i f((a(x) \otimes 1)v) = (a(x) \otimes 1)\phi_i f(v) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},\ v \in W_1 \otimes U_1.$$

Let $0 \neq u_1 \in U_1$. There exists an $i \in S$ such that $\phi_i f \neq 0$ on $W_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}u_1$. We see that the map $\phi_i f$ gives rise to a nonzero $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module homomorphism from W_1 $(= W_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}u_1)$ onto W_2 $(= W_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}u_2^i)$. Because W_1 and W_2 are irreducible, this nonzero homomorphism is an isomorphism. This proves that W_1 is isomorphic to W_2.

From (2.36) and (2.38) we have

$$f((1 \otimes a(x))v) = (1 \otimes a(x))f(v) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},\ v \in W_1 \otimes U_1. \tag{2.39}$$

Then using the same strategy, we see that U_1 is isomorphic to U_2. □

Furthermore, the following result, which is a version of a result of Chari in [C], gives the equivalence on evaluation $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules (in category $\mathcal{E}$):

Proposition 2.14. *Let* $U_1, \dots, U_r$, $V_1, \dots, V_s$ *be nontrivial irreducible* $\mathfrak{g}$*-modules and let* $z_1, \dots, z_r$ *and* $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s$ *be two groups of distinct nonzero complex numbers. Then the* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$*-module* $U_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes U_r(z_r)$ *is isomorphic to* $V_1(\xi_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes V_s(\xi_s)$ *if and only if* $r = s$*,* $z_i = \xi_i$ *and* $U_i \cong V_i$ *up to a permutation.*

Proof. We only need to prove the "only if" part. Let U be any $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module in category $\mathcal{E}$. There exists a (unique nonzero) monic polynomial $p(x)$ of least degree such that $p(x)a(x)U = 0$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Clearly, isomorphic $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules in category $\mathcal{E}$ have the same monic polynomial. If $U = U_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes U_r(z_r)$, we are going to show that $p(x) = (x - z_1) \cdots (x - z_r)$ is the associated monic polynomial. First, by Lemma 2.5 we have that $p(x)a(x) = 0$ on $U_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes U_r(z_r)$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Let $q(x)$ be any polynomial such that $q(x)a(x) = 0$ on $U_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes U_r(z_r)$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Set $p_i(x) = \prod_{j \neq i}(x - z_j)/(z_i - z_j)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$ as in the proof of Proposition 2.11. For $a \in \mathfrak{g},\ u_i \in U_i$ with $i = 1, \ldots, r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= q(x)p_i(x)a(x)(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r) \\ &= q(x)x^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_i}{x}\right)(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes au_i \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r) \\ &= q(z_i)x^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_i}{x}\right)(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes au_i \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r). \end{aligned}$$

Since each U_i is a nontrivial $\mathfrak{g}$-module, we must have $q(z_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Thus $p(x)$ divides $q(x)$. This proves that $p(x)$ is the associated monic polynomial.

Assume that $U_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes U_r(z_r)$ is isomorphic to $V_1(\xi_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes V_s(\xi_s)$ with f a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module isomorphism map. Then the two tensor product modules must have the same associated monic polynomial. That is, $(x - z_1) \cdots (x - z_r) = (x - \xi_1) \cdots (x - \xi_s)$. Thus $r = s$ and up to a permutation $z_i = \xi_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Assume that $z_i = \xi_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. For $1 \leq i \leq r,\ a \in \mathfrak{g}$ and for $u_j \in U_j,\ v_j \in V_j$ with $j = 1, \ldots, r$, we have

$$p_i(x)a(x)(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r) = x^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_i}{x}\right)(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes au_i \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r), \tag{2.40}$$

$$p_i(x)a(x)(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_r) = x^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_i}{x}\right)(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes av_i \otimes \cdots \otimes v_r). \tag{2.41}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes au_i \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r) &= \mathrm{Res}_x x^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_i}{x}\right) f(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes au_i \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r) \\ &= \mathrm{Res}_x f\left(p_i(x)a(x)(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r)\right) \\ &= \mathrm{Res}_x p_i(x)a(x)f(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r) \\ &= \sigma_i(a)f(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r), \end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

where for $a \in \mathfrak{g},\ v_1 \in V_1, \ldots, v_r \in V_r$,

$$\sigma_i(a)(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_r) = (v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes av_i \otimes \cdots \otimes v_r).$$

Now, from the proof of Proposition 2.13 we see that U_i is isomorphic to V_i. □

In view of Remark 2.7 and Proposition 2.12, naturally one wants to know whether irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules of the form $W \otimes U$ as in Proposition 2.12 exhaust the irreducible

$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules in the category $\mathcal{C}$ up to isomorphism. In the next section we shall prove that this is true if we restrict ourselves to integrable module for a standard affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

3 Classification of irreducible integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules in the categories $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{C}$

In this section we classify irreducible integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules in the categories $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ for a standard affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ (with $\mathfrak{g}$ a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra and with $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ the normalized Killing form). It has been proved in [DLM] that every irreducible integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module in the category $\mathcal{R}$ is a highest weight module and every integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module in the category $\mathcal{R}$ is completely reducible. We here show that every irreducible integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module in the category $\mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic to a finite-dimensional evaluation module and that every irreducible integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module in the category $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to a tensor product of a highest weight integrable module with a finite-dimensional evaluation module, constructed by Chari and Presslely.

We start with some formal calculus. First we have ([Li1], [LL])

$$(x_1-x_2)^m\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^n x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right)=0 \tag{3.1}$$

for $m>n\geq 0$, and we have

$$(x_1-x_2)^m\frac{1}{n!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^n x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right)=\frac{1}{(n-m)!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^{n-m} x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) \tag{3.2}$$

for $0\leq m\leq n$.

Definition 3.1. Let W be any vector space. Following [LL] (cf. [Li1]) we set

$$\mathcal{E}(W)=\mathrm{Hom}\,(W,W((x))). \tag{3.3}$$

We define $\bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$ to be the subspace of $(\mathrm{End}\,W)[[x,x^{-1}]]$, consisting of formal series $a(x)$ such that $p(x)a(x)\in\mathrm{Hom}\,(W,W((x)))$ for some nonzero polynomial $p(x)$. Define $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0(W)$ to be the subspace of $\bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$ consisting of the formal series $a(x)$ such that $p(x)a(x)=0$ for some nonzero polynomial $p(x)$.

Remark 3.2. If $a(x)\in\bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$ and if $x^m f(x)a(x)\in\mathrm{Hom}\,(W,W((x)))$ for some integer m and for some polynomial $f(x)$, then $f(x)a(x)\in\mathrm{Hom}\,(W,W((x)))$. In view of this, if we need, we may assume that $p(0)\neq 0$ for our nonzero polynomial $p(x)$.

Let $\mathbb{C}(x)$ denote the algebra of rational functions of x. We define $\iota_{x;0}$ to be the linear map from $\mathbb{C}(x)$ to $\mathbb{C}((x))$ such that for $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}(x)$, $\iota_{x;0}(f(x))$ is the formal Laurent series of $f(x)$ at 0. Notice that both $\mathbb{C}(x)$ and $\mathbb{C}((x))$ are (commutative) fields. The linear map $\iota_{x;0}$ is a field embedding. If $p(x)$ is a polynomial with $p(0) \neq 0$, then $\iota_{x;0}(p(x)) \in \mathbb{C}[[x]]$.

Definition 3.3. Let W be a vector space. Define a linear map

$$\psi_{\mathcal{R}} : \bar{\mathcal{E}}(W) \to \mathcal{E}(W) \ (= \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x))))$$

by

$$\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))w = \iota_{x;0}(f(x)^{-1})(f(x)a(x)w) \quad \text{for } a(x) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}(W),\ w \in W, \tag{3.4}$$

where $f(x)$ is any nonzero polynomial such that $f(x)a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x)))$.

First of all, the map $\psi_{\mathcal{R}}$ is well defined; the expression on the right-hand side of (3.4) makes sense (which is clear) and does not depend on the choice of $f(x)$. Indeed, let $0 \neq f, g \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ be such that

$$f(x)a(x),\quad g(x)a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x))).$$

Set $h(x) = f(x)g(x)$. Then $h(x)a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x)))$. For $w \in W$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{x;0}(h(x)^{-1})(h(x)a(x)w) &= \iota_{x;0}(h(x)^{-1})f(x)(g(x)a(x)w) \\ &= \iota_{x;0}(g(x)^{-1})(g(x)a(x)w). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\iota_{x;0}(h(x)^{-1})(h(x)a(x)w) = \iota_{x;0}(f(x)^{-1})(f(x)a(x)w).$$

Remark 3.4. Note that the expression $\iota_{x;0}(f(x)^{-1})a(x)w$ may not exist in $W[[x, x^{-1}]]$. Thus, in (3.4), it is necessary to use all the parenthesis.

The following is an immediate consequence of (3.4) and the associativity law (recall Remark 2.1):

Lemma 3.5. *For* $a(x) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$, *we have*

$$f(x)\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x)) = f(x)a(x), \tag{3.5}$$

where $f(x)$ *is any nonzero polynomial such that* $f(x)a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x)))$.

Furthermore we have the following result:

Proposition 3.6. *Let W be any vector space. We have*

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}(W) = \mathcal{E}(W) \oplus \bar{\mathcal{E}}_0(W). \tag{3.6}$$

Furthermore, the linear map $\psi_{\mathcal{R}}$ from $\bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$ to $\mathcal{E}(W)$, defined in Definition 3.3, is the projection map of $\bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$ onto $\mathcal{E}(W)$, i.e.,

$$\psi_{\mathcal{R}}|_{\mathcal{E}(W)} = 1 \quad \textit{and} \quad \psi_{\mathcal{R}}|_{\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0(W)} = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. Let $a(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W) = \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x)))$. In Definition 3.3 we can take $f(x) = 1$, so that $\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))w = a(x)w$ for $w \in W$. Thus $\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x)) = a(x)$.

Now, let $a(x) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}_0(W)$. By definition there is a nonzero polynomial $p(x)$ such that $p(x)a(x) = 0$ on W, so that $p(x)a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x)))$. From definition we have

$$\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))w = \iota_{x;0}(p(x)^{-1})(p(x)a(x)w) = 0 \quad \text{for } w \in W.$$

Thus $\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x)) = 0$. This proves the property (3.7) and it follows immediately that the sum $\mathcal{E}(W) + \bar{\mathcal{E}}_0(W)$ is a direct sum.

Let $a(x) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$ and let $0 \neq f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ be such that $f(x)a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x)))$. In view of Lemma 3.5 we have $f(x)\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x)) = f(x)a(x)$. Then $f(x)(a(x) - \psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))) = 0$, which implies that $a(x) - \psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x)) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}_0(W)$. Thus, $a(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W) \oplus \bar{\mathcal{E}}_0(W)$. This proves that $\bar{\mathcal{E}}(W) \subset \mathcal{E}(W) \oplus \bar{\mathcal{E}}_0(W)$, from which we have (3.6). ☐

Definition 3.7. Let W be a vector space. Denote by $\psi_{\mathcal{E}}$ the projection map of $\bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$ onto $\mathcal{E}_0(W)$ with respect to the decomposition (3.6). For $a(x) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}(x) &= \psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x)), & (3.8)\\ \breve{a}(x) &= \psi_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x)) = a(x) - \psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x)) = a(x) - \tilde{a}(x). & (3.9) \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x)\tilde{a}(x) &= f(x)a(x), & (3.10)\\ f(x)\breve{a}(x) &= 0 & (3.11) \end{aligned}$$

for any nonzero $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ such that $f(x)a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x)))$.

The following result relates the actions of $\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))$ and $a(x)$ on W:

Lemma 3.8. *For $a(x) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $w \in W$, we have*

$$\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))(n)w = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \beta_i a(n+i)w \tag{3.12}$$

for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_r \in \mathbb{C}$, depending on $a(x), w$ and n.

Proof. Let $p(x)$ be a polynomial with $p(0) \neq 0$ such that $p(x)a(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(W, W((x)))$. Then $x^k p(x)a(x)w \in W[[x]]$ for some nonnegative integer k. Assume that

$$\iota_{x;0}(1/p(x)) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \alpha_i x^i \in \mathbb{C}[[x]]. \tag{3.13}$$

Noticing that $\mathrm{Res}_x x^{k+m} p(x)a(x)w = 0$ for $m \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))(n)w &= \mathrm{Res}_x x^n \psi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))w = \mathrm{Res}_x x^n \iota_{x;0}(1/p(x))(p(x)a(x)w) \\ &= \mathrm{Res}_x \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k-n-1} \alpha_i x^{n+i}(p(x)a(x)w) \\ &= \mathrm{Res}_x \left(\sum_{0 \leq i \leq k-n-1} \alpha_i x^{n+i} p(x)a(x) \right) w. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Then it follows immediately. □

We also have:

Lemma 3.9. *Let*

$$a(x), b(x) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}(W),\ c_0(x), \ldots, c_r(x) \in (\mathrm{End}\, W)[[x, x^{-1}]]$$

be such that on W,

$$[a(x_1), b(x_2)] = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \frac{1}{i!} c_i(x_2) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right)^i x_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1} \right). \tag{3.15}$$

Then $c_0(x), \ldots, c_r(x) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$ *and*

$$[\tilde{a}(x_1), \tilde{b}(x_2)] = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \frac{1}{i!} \tilde{c}_i(x_2) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right)^i x_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1} \right). \tag{3.16}$$

Proof. Using (3.1) and (3.2), and noticing that

$$\mathrm{Res}_{x_1} c_j(x_2) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right)^r x_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1} \right) = (-1)^r \mathrm{Res}_{x_1} c_j(x_2) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right)^r x_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1} \right) = 0$$

for $r \geq 1$, we get

$$c_i(x_2) = \mathrm{Res}_{x_1} (x_1 - x_2)^i [a(x_1), b(x_2)]. \tag{3.17}$$

Then it is clear that $c_i(x) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$ for $i = 0, \ldots, r$, since $b(x) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$.

Let $0 \neq f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ be such that

$$f(x)a(x) = f(x)\tilde{a}(x),\ f(x)b(x) = f(x)\tilde{b}(x),\ f(x)c_i(x) = f(x)\tilde{c}_i(x)$$

for $i = 0, \ldots, r$. Then by multiplying both sides of (3.15) by $f(x_1)f(x_2)$ we obtain

$$f(x_1)f(x_2)[\tilde{a}(x_1), \tilde{b}(x_2)] = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \frac{1}{i!} f(x_1)f(x_2)\tilde{c}_i(x_2) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^i x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right). \tag{3.18}$$

Then we may multiply both sides by $\iota_{x_1;0}(f(x_1)^{-1})\iota_{x_2;0}(g(x_2)^{-1})$ to get (3.16). $\square$

The following is the key factorization result:

Theorem 3.10. *Let π be a representation of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ on module W in the category $\mathcal{C}$. Define linear maps $\pi_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{E}}$ from $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ to $\operatorname{End} W$ in terms of generating functions by*

$$\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x) + \alpha\mathbf{k}) = \psi_{\mathcal{R}}(\pi(a(x))) + \alpha\pi(\mathbf{k}), \tag{3.19}$$
$$\pi_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x) + \beta\mathbf{k}) = \psi_{\mathcal{E}}(\pi(a(x))) \tag{3.20}$$

for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, where we extend π to $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[[x, x^{-1}]]$ canonically. Then

$$\pi = \pi_{\mathcal{R}} + \pi_{\mathcal{E}} \tag{3.21}$$

and the linear map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}} & \rightarrow & \operatorname{End} W \\ (u, v) & \mapsto & \pi_{\mathcal{R}}(u) + \pi_{\mathcal{E}}(v) \end{array} \tag{3.22}$$

defines a representation of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ on W. If (W, π) is irreducible, W is an irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module. Furthermore, $(W, \pi_{\mathcal{R}})$ is a restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module (in the category $\mathcal{R}$) and $(W, \pi_{\mathcal{E}})$ is a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module in the category $\mathcal{E}$.

Proof. The relation (3.21) follows from Proposition 3.6. It follows immediately from the defining commutator relations (2.10) and Lemma 3.9 that $(W, \pi_{\mathcal{R}})$ is a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module and it is clear that it is restricted. (We view $\mathbf{k}$ as an element of $\bar{\mathcal{E}}(W)$.) Consequently, $(W, \pi_{\mathcal{E}})$ is a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module, since $\pi_{\mathcal{E}} = \pi - \pi_{\mathcal{R}}$.

Let $0 \neq p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ be such that $p(x)\pi(a(x)) \in \operatorname{Hom}(W, W((x)))$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then

$$p(x)\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x)) = p(x)\pi(a(x)), \quad p(x)\pi_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x)) = 0,$$

so that

$$p(x)\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x)) = p(x)\psi_{\mathcal{R}}\pi(a(x)) = p(x)\pi(a(x)) \tag{3.23}$$
$$p(x)\pi_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x)) = 0 \tag{3.24}$$

for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. From this we have that $(W, \pi_{\mathcal{E}})$ belongs to the category $\mathcal{E}$.

For $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$, using the commutator relations (2.10) and the basic delta-function property we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& p(x_1)[\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x_1)), \pi_{\mathcal{E}}(b(x_2))] \\
= \;& p(x_1)[\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x_1)), \pi(b(x_2))] - p(x_1)[\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x_1)), \pi_{\mathcal{R}}(b(x_2))] \\
= \;& p(x_1)[\pi(a(x_1)), \pi(b(x_2))] - p(x_1)[\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x_1)), \pi_{\mathcal{R}}(b(x_2))] \\
= \;& p(x_1)\pi([a,b](x_2))x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right) + \langle a, b\rangle \pi(\mathbf{k})p(x_1)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right) \\
& -p(x_1)\pi_{\mathcal{R}}([a,b](x_2))x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right) - \langle a, b\rangle \pi_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{k})p(x_1)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right) \\
= \;& p(x_2)\pi([a,b](x_2))x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right) + \langle a, b\rangle \pi(\mathbf{k})p(x_1)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right) \\
& -p(x_2)\pi_{\mathcal{R}}([a,b](x_2))x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right) - \langle a, b\rangle \pi(\mathbf{k})p(x_1)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right) \\
= \;& 0. \qquad (3.25)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x_1)) \in \operatorname{Hom}(W, W((x_1)))$, we can multiply both sides by $\iota_{x_1,0}1/p(x_1)$ and use associativity to get

$$[\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x_1)), \pi_{\mathcal{E}}(b(x_2))] = 0. \qquad (3.26)$$

It follows that $(u, v) \mapsto \pi_{\mathcal{R}}(u) + \pi_{\mathcal{E}}(v)$ defines a representation of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ on W. With $\pi = \pi_{\mathcal{R}} + \pi_{\mathcal{E}}$, it is clear that if (W, π) is irreducible, W is an irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module. $\square$

Furthermore, we have:

Proposition 3.11. *Let* (W_1, π_1) *and* (W_2, π_2) *be* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$*-modules in the category* $\mathcal{C}$ *and let* f *be a* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$*-module homomorphism (isomorphism) from* (W_1, π_1) *to* (W_2, π_2)*. Then* f *is a* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$*-module homomorphism (isomorphism) from* $(W_1, (\pi_1)_{\mathcal{R}})$ *to* $(W_2, (\pi_2)_{\mathcal{R}})$ *and a* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$*-module homomorphism (isomorphism) from* $(W_1, (\pi_1)_{\mathcal{E}})$ *to* $(W_2, (\pi_2)_{\mathcal{E}})$*.*

Proof. Let $p(x)$ be a nonzero polynomial such that for every $a \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$p(x)\pi_1(a(x)) \in \operatorname{Hom}(W_1, W_1((x))), \;\; p(x)\pi_2(a(x)) \in \operatorname{Hom}(W_2, W_2((x))).$$

Then we have

$$p(x)\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(\pi_1(a(x))) = p(x)\pi_1(a(x)), \;\; p(x)\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(\pi_2(a(x))) = p(x)\pi_2(a(x)),$$

so that

$$p(x)(\pi_1)_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x)) = p(x)\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(\pi_1(a(x))) = p(x)\pi_1(a(x)), \qquad (3.27)$$

$$p(x)(\pi_2)_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x)) = p(x)\psi_{\mathcal{R}}(\pi_2(a(x))) = p(x)\pi_2(a(x)). \qquad (3.28)$$

For $a \in \mathfrak{g},\ w_1 \in W_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(x)f((\pi_1)_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))w_1) &= p(x)f(\pi_1(a(x))w_1) = p(x)\pi_2(a(x))f(w_1) \\ &= p(x)(\pi_2)_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))f(w_1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

Since $f((\pi_1)_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))w_1),\ (\pi_2)_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))f(w_1) \in W_2((x))$, in view of Remark 2.1 we have

$$f((\pi_1)_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))w_1) = (\pi_2)_{\mathcal{R}}(a(x))f(w_1) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{3.30}$$

This proves that f is a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module homomorphism from $(W_1, (\pi_1)_{\mathcal{R}})$ to $(W_2, (\pi_2)_{\mathcal{R}})$. (Notice that $a \otimes t^n$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g},\ n \in \mathbb{Z}$ generates $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$.) Because $(\pi_i)_{\mathcal{E}} = \pi_i - (\pi_i)_{\mathcal{R}}$ for $i = 1, 2$, it follows that f is also a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module homomorphism from $(W_1, (\pi_1)_{\mathcal{E}})$ to $(W_2, (\pi_2)_{\mathcal{E}})$. $\square$

In view of Theorem 3.10, to classify irreducible representations of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ in the category $\mathcal{C}$ we need to classify irreducible representations of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ which are composed of a representation of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ in the category $\mathcal{R}$ and a representation of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ in the category $\mathcal{E}$. Motivated by this, we next present some elementary results (or facts) about modules for a tensor product associative algebra $A_1 \otimes A_2$.

Remark 3.12. We here collect some facts for general (maybe infinite-dimensional) associative algebras, which follow from the proofs for the finite-dimensional case. The first fact is that if A is an associative algebra (with identity), U a finitely generated A-module and $W = \coprod_{i \in I} W_i$ a direct sum of A-modules, then $\mathrm{Hom}_A(U, W) \cong \coprod_{i \in I} \mathrm{Hom}_A(U, W_i)$. With this fact, using the usual proof one can prove the second fact: Let A_1 and A_2 be associative algebras (with identity), let W be an $A_1 \otimes A_2$-module such that W viewed as an A_1-module is completely reducible and let $\{U_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a complete set of representatives of equivalence classes of irreducible A_1-submodules of W. Assume that $\mathrm{End}_{A_1} U_i = \mathbb{C}$ for $i \in I$. Then $W \cong \coprod_{i \in I} U_i \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_{A_1}(U_i, W)$, as an $A_1 \otimes A_2$-module. A version of Schur lemma (cf. [Di]) is that if A is an associative algebra (with identity) of countable dimension, then $\mathrm{End}_A U = \mathbb{C}$ for any irreducible A-module U. In view of this, for the second fact, the condition that $\mathrm{End}_{A_1} U_i = \mathbb{C}$ can be replaced by that condition that A_1 is of countable dimension.

The following two lemmas are very useful in the proof of our main theorems later:

Lemma 3.13. *Let A_1 and A_2 be associative algebras (with identity) and let U be an irreducible $A_1 \otimes A_2$-module. Suppose that A_1 is of countable dimension and that U as an A_1-module has an irreducible submodule. Then U is isomorphic to an $A_1 \otimes A_2$-module of the form $U_1 \otimes U_2$ as in Lemma 2.10.*

Proof. Let U_1 be an irreducible A_1-submodule of U. Since U is an irreducible $A_1 \otimes A_2$-module, we have $U = (A_1 \otimes A_2)U_1 = A_2 U_1$. For any $a \in A_2$, $u \mapsto au$ is an A_1-homomorphism from U_1 to U. Consequently, for $a \in A_2$, either $aU_1 = 0$ or aU_1 is an irreducible A_1-submodule isomorphic to U_1. It follows that U as an A_1-module is a direct sum of irreducible submodules isomorphic to U_1. Furthermore, since A_1 is of countable dimension, from Remark 3.12 we have $W \cong U_1 \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{A_1}(U_1, U)$, where $\operatorname{Hom}_{A_1}(U_1, U)$ is a natural A_2-module which is necessarily irreducible. $\square$

Lemma 3.14. *Let A_1 and A_2 be associative algebras (with identity) and let W be an $A_1 \otimes A_2$-module. Assume that A_1 is of countable dimension and assume that W is a completely reducible A_1-module and a completely reducible A_2-module. Then W is isomorphic to a direct sum of irreducible $A_1 \otimes A_2$-modules of the form $U \otimes V$ with U an irreducible A_1-module and V an irreducible A_2-module.*

Proof. Let $\{U_1^{(i)} \mid i \in I\}$ be a complete set of representatives of equivalence classes of irreducible A_1-submodules of W. With A_1-being countable dimensional, from Remark 3.12 we have

$$W \cong \coprod_{i \in I} U_1^{(i)} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{A_1}(U_1^{(i)}, W)$$

as an $A_1 \otimes A_2$-module. Since W is a completely reducible A_2-module, $\operatorname{Hom}_{A_1}(U_1^{(i)}, W)$ is a completely reducible A_2-module. Now it follows from Lemma 2.10 that W is a completely reducible $A_1 \otimes A_2$-module. $\square$

We now classify finite-dimensional irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules in category $\mathcal{E}$. For $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have (cf. [HL])

$$a(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a \otimes t^n) x^{-n-1} = a \otimes x^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{t}{x}\right). \tag{3.31}$$

For $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x],\ m \in \mathbb{Z},\ a \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$x^m f(x) a(x) = a \otimes x^m f(x) x^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{t}{x}\right) = a \otimes t^m f(t) x^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{t}{x}\right), \tag{3.32}$$

so that

$$\operatorname{Res}_x x^m f(x) a(x) = a \otimes t^m f(t). \tag{3.33}$$

It follows immediately that for any $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module W, $f(x)a(x)W = 0$ if and only if $(a \otimes f(t)\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])W = 0$. For a nonzero polynomial $p(x)$, we define a subcategory $\mathcal{E}_p$ of $\mathcal{E}$, consisting of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules W such that

$$p(x)a(x)w = 0 \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},\ w \in W. \tag{3.34}$$

Then a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module in the category $\mathcal{E}_{p(x)}$ exactly amounts to a module for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]/p(t)\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ (recall Lemma 2.9).

Lemma 3.15. *Let* $p(x) = x^k(x - z_1)\cdots(x - z_r)$ *with* $z_1, \ldots, z_r$ *distinct nonzero complex numbers and with* $k \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then any finite-dimensional irreducible* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$*-module* W *in the category* $\mathcal{E}_{p(x)}$ *is isomorphic to a* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$*-module* $U_1(z_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes U_r(z_r)$ *for some finite-dimensional irreducible* $\mathfrak{g}$*-modules* $U_1, \ldots, U_r$.

Proof. Noticing that $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]/p(t)\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]/(t - z_i)\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$, we have

$$\mathfrak{g} \otimes (\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]/p(t)\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]/(t - z_i)\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]. \tag{3.35}$$

Notice that for any nonzero complex number z, a $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]/(t - z)\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$-module exactly amounts to an evaluation $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module $U(z)$. Set

$$A_i = U\left(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]/(t - z_i)\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]\right)$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Since W is finite-dimensional, W viewed as an A_i-module contains an irreducible submodule. It now follows from Lemma 3.13 (and induction). $\square$

We also have the following result:

Proposition 3.16. *Assume that* $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}$. *Then any finite-dimensional irreducible* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$*-module* W *in the category* $\mathcal{E}$ *is isomorphic to a* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$*-module* $U_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes U_r(z_r)$ *for some finite-dimensional* $\mathfrak{g}$*-modules* $U_1, \ldots, U_r$ *and for some distinct nonzero complex numbers* $z_1, \ldots, z_r$.

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.15, it suffices to prove that W is in the category $\mathcal{E}_{p(x)}$ with $p(x)$ a nonzero polynomial whose any nonzero root is multiplicity-free. In view of Remark 3.2, there exists a polynomial $p(x)$ with $p(0) \neq 0$ such that $p(x)a(x)W = 0$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Let $p(x)$ be such a monic polynomial with the least degree. Thus

$$p(x) = (x - z_1)^{k_1} \cdots (x - z_r)^{k_r}, \tag{3.36}$$

where $z_1, \ldots, z_r$ are distinct nonzero complex numbers and $k_1, \ldots, k_r$ are positive integers.

Let I be the annihilating ideal of W in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes p(t)\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]) \subset I$ and W is an irreducible faithful $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/I$-module. Therefore (cf. [H]) $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/I$ is reductive (where we using the fact that W is finite-dimensional). Set $f(x) = (x - z_1)\cdots(x - z_r)$ and let k be the largest one among $k_1, \ldots, k_r$. We see that $p(x)$ is a factor of $f(x)^k$. It follows that the quotient space $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes f(t)\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])/I$ is a solvable ideal of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/I$. With $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/I$ being reductive, $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes f(t)\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])/I$ must be in the center of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/I$. From this we have that $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \otimes f(t)\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \subset I$, which implies that $\mathfrak{g} \otimes f(t)\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \subset I$, since $\mathfrak{g} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ by assumption. This proves that $f(x)a(x)W = 0$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Consequently, $f(x) = p(x)$, that is, $k_1 = \cdots = k_r = 1$. $\square$

Remark 3.17. In Proposition 3.16, the condition $\mathfrak{g} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is necessary. For example, let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an abelian Lie algebra. For any nonzero linear functional χ on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with $\psi(\mathbf{k}) = 0$, we have a one-dimensional irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module $\mathbb{C}$ with $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ acting according to χ. In general, such a module may not be in category $\mathcal{E}$.

For the rest of this section we assume that $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ *is a standard affine Lie algebra with* $\mathfrak{g}$ *a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra and with* $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ *the normalized Killing form.* We retain all the notations and definitions in Remark 2.3.

The following result is a refinement of Theorem 3.10:

Proposition 3.18. *Let* π *be a representation of* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ *on integrable* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$*-module* W *in the category of* $\mathcal{C}$*. Then* $(W, \pi_{\mathcal{R}})$ *is a restricted integrable* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$*-module (in the category* $\mathcal{R}$*) and* $(W, \pi_{\mathcal{E}})$ *is an integrable* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$*-module in the category* $\mathcal{E}$*.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 3.10, we only need to show that $(W, \pi_{\mathcal{R}})$ and $(W, \pi_{\mathcal{E}})$ are integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules. We must prove that for $a \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ with $\alpha \in \Delta$ and for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\tilde{a}(n)$ and $\breve{a}(n)$ act locally nilpotently on W.

Let $a \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ with Δ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Notice that $[a(r), a(s)] = 0$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, since $[a, a] = 0$ and $\langle a, a \rangle = 0$. For $w \in W$, we have

$$a(r)\tilde{a}(x)w = a(r)\iota_{x;0}(1/p(x))(p(x)a(x)w) = \iota_{x;0}(1/p(x))(p(x)a(x)a(r)w) = \tilde{a}(x)a(r)w.$$

Thus

$$a(r)\tilde{a}(s) = \tilde{a}(s)a(r) \quad \text{for } r, s \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.37}$$

Let $w \in W$ be an arbitrarily fixed vector. By Lemma 3.8,

$$\tilde{a}(n)w = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \beta_i a(n+i)w$$

for some positive integer r and for some complex numbers $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r$. Using (3.37) we get

$$\tilde{a}(n)^p w = (\beta_0 a(n) + \cdots + \beta_r a(n+r))^p w \quad \text{for any } p \geq 0. \tag{3.38}$$

Since (W, π) is an integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module, there is a positive integer k such that

$$a(m)^k w = 0 \quad \text{for } m = n, n+1, \dots, n+r.$$

Combining this with (3.38) we obtain $\tilde{a}(n)^{k(r+1)}w = 0$.

Since $\breve{a}(n) = a(n) - \tilde{a}(n)$ and $[a(n), \tilde{a}(n)] = 0$, we get

$$\breve{a}(n)^{k(r+2)}w = (a(n) - \tilde{a}(n))^{k(r+2)}w = \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{k(r+2)}{i} (-1)^i a(n)^{k(r+2)-i} \tilde{a}(n)^i w = 0. \tag{3.39}$$

This proves that $\tilde{a}(n)$ and $\breve{a}(n)$ act locally nilpotently on W, completing the proof. $\square$

We shall need the following fact which is a reformulation of Lemma 3.6 of [DLM]:

Lemma 3.19. *There is a basis* $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ *of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *such that*

$$[a_i(m), a_i(n)] = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq r, \ m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.40}$$

and such that for $1 \leq i \leq r$ *and for any* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_i(n)$ *acts locally nilpotently on all integrable* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$*-modules.*

Proof. For $\alpha \in \Delta_+$, choose nonzero vectors $e_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, f_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}, h_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $[h_\alpha, e_\alpha] = 2e_\alpha$, $[h_\alpha, f_\alpha] = -2f_\alpha$ and $[e_\alpha, f_\alpha] = h_\alpha$. Set $\sigma_\alpha = e^{\mathrm{ad} e_\alpha}$, an inner automorphism of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $\sigma_\alpha(f_\alpha) = f_\alpha + h_\alpha - e_\alpha$. Since $\{e_\alpha, f_\alpha, h_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta_+\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$, $\{e_\alpha, f_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha(f_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Delta_+\}$ is also a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. On any integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module we have (cf. [H], [K1])

$$\exp(e_\alpha(0)) f_\alpha(n) \exp(-e_\alpha(0)) = \sigma_\alpha(f_\alpha)(n) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.41}$$

Since for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $f_\alpha(n)$ acts locally nilpotently on any integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module, $\sigma_\alpha(f_\alpha)(n)$ also acts locally nilpotently on any integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module. Then $\{e_\alpha, f_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha(e_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Delta_+\}$, is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$, satisfying the desired property. □

The following result is a reformulation of Theorem 3.7 (cf. Remark 3.9) of [DLM]:

Theorem 3.20. *Every nonzero restricted integrable* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$*-module is a direct sum of (irreducible) highest weight integrable modules. In particular, every irreducible integrable* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$*-module* W *is a highest weight integrable module.*

Proof. As in [DLM], in view of the complete reducibility theorem in [K1] we only need to show that every nonzero restricted integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module W contains a highest weight integrable (irreducible) submodule. We now reformulate the proof of [DLM, Theorem 3.7] as follows:

Claim 1: *There exists a nonzero* $u \in W$ *such that* $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t])u = 0$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, set $\mathfrak{g}(n) = \{a(n) \mid a \in \mathfrak{g}\}$. For any nonzero $u \in W$, since W is restricted, $\mathfrak{g}(n)u = 0$ for n sufficiently large, so that $\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathfrak{g}(n)u$ is finite-dimensional. For any $u \in W$, we define $d(u) = \dim \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathfrak{g}(n)u$. If there is a $0 \neq u \in W$ such that $d(u) = 0$, then $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t])u = 0$.

Suppose that $d(u) > 0$ for any $0 \neq u \in W$. Take $0 \neq u \in W$ such that $d(u)$ is minimal. By Lemma 3.19, there exists a basis $\{a_1, \dots a_r\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $a_i(n)$ locally nilpotently act on W for $i = 1, \dots, r, \ n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let k be the positive integer such that $\mathfrak{g}(k)u \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{g}(n)u = 0$ whenever $n > k$. By the definition of k, $a_i(k)u \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Notice that $a_i(k)^s u = 0$ for some nonnegative integer s. Let m be the nonnegative integer such that $a_i(k)^m u \neq 0$ and $a_i(k)^{m+1} u = 0$. Set $v = a_i(k)^m u$. We will obtain a contradiction by showing that $d(v) < d(u)$. First we prove that if $a(n)u = 0$ for some

$a \in \mathfrak{g}$, $n \geq 1$, then $a(n)v = 0$. In the following we will show by induction on m that $a(n)a_i(k)^m u = 0$ for any $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $m \geq 0$. If $m = 0$ this is immediate by the choice of u. Now assume that the result holds for m. Since $[a, a_i](k+n)u = 0$ (from the definition of k) and $a(n)u = 0$, by the induction assumption that $a(n)a_i(k)^m u = 0$ we have

$$[a, a_i](k+n)a_i(k)^m u = 0, \; a(n)a_i(k)^m u = 0. \tag{3.42}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} a(n)a_i(k)^{m+1}u &= [a(n), a_i(k)]a_i(k)^m u + a_i(k)a(n)a_i(k)^m u \\ &= [a, a_i](k+n)a_i(k)^m u + a_i(k)a(n)a_i(k)^m u \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.43}$$

as required. In particular, we see that $a(n)v = a(n)a_i(k)^r u = 0$. Therefore, $d(v) \leq d(u)$. Since $a_i(k)v = 0$ and $a_i(k)u \neq 0$, we have $d(v) < d(u)$, a contradiction.

Claim 2: *W* contains an irreducible highest weight integrable submodule. Set

$$\Omega(W) = \{u \in W \mid (\mathfrak{g} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t])u = 0\}. \tag{3.44}$$

Then $\Omega(W)$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-submodule of W and it is nonzero by Claim 1. Since $a_i(0)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$ act locally nilpotently on $\Omega(W)$, it follows from the PBW theorem that for any $u \in \Omega(W)$, $U(\mathfrak{g})u$ is finite-dimensional, so that $U(\mathfrak{g})u$ is a direct sum of finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-modules. Let $u \in \Omega(W)$ be a highest weight vector for $\mathfrak{g}$. It is clear that u is a singular vector for $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. It follows from [K1] that u generates an irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module. □

We also have the following result (cf. Theorem 2.2):

Proposition 3.21. *The irreducible integrable* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$*-modules in the category* $\mathcal{E}$ *up to isomorphism are exactly those evaluation modules* $U_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes U_r(z_r)$ *where* U_i *are finite-dimensional irreducible* $\mathfrak{g}$*-modules and* z_i *are distinct nonzero complex numbers.*

Proof. In view of Proposition 3.16 it suffices to prove that every irreducible integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module W in the category $\mathcal{E}$ is finite-dimensional. Since W is in the category $\mathcal{E}$, there is a nonzero polynomial $p(x)$ such that $(a \otimes p(t)\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])W = 0$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Let I be the annihilating ideal of W in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/I$ is finite-dimensional. Recall from Lemma 3.19 that there is a basis $\{a_1, \ldots, a_r\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that for any $1 \leq i \leq r$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_i(n)$ acts locally nilpotently on W. Let $0 \neq w \in W$. Since W is irreducible, we have $W = U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})w = U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/I)w$. In view of the PBW theorem (for $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/I$ using a basis consisting of the cosets of finitely many $a_i(n)$'s) we have that W is finite-dimensional, completing the proof. □

Now, we are in a position to prove our main result:

Theorem 3.22. *Every irreducible integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module in the category $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to a module of the form $W \otimes U_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes U_r(z_r)$, where W is an irreducible integrable highest weight $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module and $U_1, \dots, U_r$ are finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-modules with $z_1, \dots, z_r$ distinct nonzero complex numbers.*

Proof. Let π be an irreducible integrable representation of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ on module W in the category $\mathcal{C}$. By Theorem 3.10, W is an irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module with (u, v) acting as $\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(u) + \pi_{\mathcal{E}}(v)$ for $u, v \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and we have $\pi = \pi_{\mathcal{R}} + \pi_{\mathcal{E}}$. Furthermore, by Proposition 3.18, $(W, \pi_{\mathcal{R}})$ is an integrable restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module and $(W, \pi_{\mathcal{E}})$ is an integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module in the category $\mathcal{E}$. In view of Theorem 3.20, $(W, \pi_{\mathcal{R}})$ is a direct sum of integrable highest weight (irreducible) $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules. Now it follows immediately from Lemma 3.13 with $A_1 = A_2 = U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ (which is of countable dimension) and Proposition 3.21. $\square$

Recall (Theorem 3.20) that every integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module in the category $\mathcal{R}$ is completely reducible. But, an integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module in the category $\mathcal{E}$ is not necessarily completely reducible. (Notice that any finite-dimensional $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module in the category $\mathcal{E}$ is integrable, but it is not necessarily completely reducible.) Nevertheless we have:

Proposition 3.23. *Let $p(x)$ be a nonzero polynomial such that all the nonzero roots are multiplicity-free. Then every integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module in the category $\mathcal{E}_{p(x)}$ is semisimple and every integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module in the category $\mathcal{C}_{p(x)}$ is semisimple.*

Proof. Set $p(x) = x^k (x - z_1) \cdots (x - z_r)$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z_1, \dots, z_r$ are distinct nonzero complex numbers. From the proof of Lemma 3.15, a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module in the category $\mathcal{E}_{p(x)}$ amounts to a module for the product Lie algebra

$$\coprod_{i=1}^{r} (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]/(t - z_i)\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]).$$

Let W be an integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module in the category $\mathcal{E}_{p(x)}$. Using the basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ as in the proof of Proposition 3.21 it follows from the PBW theorem that any vector in W generates a finite-dimensional $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-submodule. With $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]/(t - z_i)\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] = \mathfrak{g}$, it follows that W as a module for each of the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]/(t - z_i)\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ is completely reducible. Now it follows from Lemma 3.14 that W is completely reducible.

Finally, with the first assertion and Theorem 3.20 it follows from Lemma 3.14 that every integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module in the category $\mathcal{C}$ is completely reducible. $\square$

4 A relation between tensor product module $W \otimes U(z)$ and fusion rules

In this section we relate the tensor product module $W \otimes U(z)$ in the category $\mathcal{C}$ with the fusion rules of certain type for the vertex operator algebra associated with the affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ of level ℓ.

As in Section 2, let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a (not necessarily finite-dimensional) Lie algebra equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the associated affine Lie algebra. Recall the extended affine Lie algebra (cf. [K1])

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{d} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{d}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $[\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{k}] = 0$ and

$$[\mathbf{d}, a \otimes t^n] = n(a \otimes t^n) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},\ n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.2}$$

A $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-module W is said to be *upper truncated* if $W = \coprod_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} W(\lambda)$, where for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$W(\lambda) = \{w \in W \mid \mathbf{d}w = \lambda w\},$$

such that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $W(\lambda + n) = 0$ for $\in \mathbb{Z}$ sufficiently large. Clearly, we have

$$a(n)W(\lambda) \subset W(\lambda + n) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},\ n \in \mathbb{Z},\ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{4.3}$$

Then every upper truncated $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-module is a restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module. For an upper truncated $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-module $W = \coprod_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} W(\lambda)$, we set (cf. [HL])

$$\overline{W} = \prod_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} W(\lambda), \tag{4.4}$$

the formal completion of W. Then $\overline{W}$ is again a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-module (but not a restricted module).

For any $\mathfrak{g}$-module U, $L(U) = U \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ is naturally a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-module where

$$a(m)(u \otimes t^n) = au \otimes t^{m+n}, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\mathbf{d}(u \otimes t^n) = (n + 1)(u \otimes t^n) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},\ u \in U,\ m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{4.6}$$

and $\mathbf{k}$ acts as zero (cf. [CP2], [K1]). Such a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-module is often called a loop module. We have $L(U) = \coprod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L(U)(n)$, where $L(U)(n) = (U \otimes \mathbb{C}t^{n-1})$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 4.1. Notice that if U is not a trivial $\mathfrak{g}$-module, i.e., $\mathfrak{g}U = 0$, then the action of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ on the evaluation $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module $U(z)$ cannot be extended to a module action for the extended affine Lie algebra for $a \in \mathfrak{g},\ m \in \mathbb{Z},\ u \in U$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Otherwise, we have

$$0 = (\mathbf{d}a(m) - a(m)\mathbf{d} - ma(m))u = z^m(\mathbf{d}au - a\mathbf{d}u - mau) \tag{4.7}$$

for $a \in \mathfrak{g},\ m \in \mathbb{Z},\ u \in U$, which implies that $au = 0$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g},\ u \in U$, a contradiction.

Let W_1 and W be upper truncated $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules of level ℓ and $U(z)$ be an evaluation $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module (of level zero), where z is a fixed nonzero complex number. We have a (tensor product) $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module $W_1 \otimes U(z)$ and a (tensor product) $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module $W_1 \otimes L(U)$. For homogeneous vector $w_1 \in W_1$ and for $u \in U,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\deg(w_1 \otimes u \otimes t^n) = \deg w_1 + n + 1. \tag{4.8}$$

We next show that there is a canonical linear isomorphism from $\mathrm{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(W_1 \otimes U \otimes \mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}], W)$ to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(W_1 \otimes U(z), \overline{W})$.

Let ψ be a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-module homomorphism from $W_1 \otimes U \otimes \mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$ to W. We define a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\psi} : W_1 \otimes U &\rightarrow \overline{W} \\ w_1 \otimes u &\mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-n-1} \psi(w_1 \otimes u \otimes t^n). \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

We are going to show that $\hat{\psi}$ is in fact a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module homomorphism from the tensor product module $W_1 \otimes U(z)$ to $\overline{W}$.

Let $a \in \mathfrak{g},\ m \in \mathbb{Z},\ w_1 \in W_1,\ u \in U$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{\psi}(a(m)(w_1 \otimes u)) \\ = \ & \hat{\psi}(a(m)w_1 \otimes u + w_1 \otimes z^m au) \\ = \ & \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-n-1} \psi(a(m)w_1 \otimes u \otimes t^n) + z^{m-n-1} \psi(w_1 \otimes au \otimes t^n) \\ = \ & \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-n-1} \left(\psi(a(m)w_1 \otimes u \otimes t^n) + \psi(w_1 \otimes au \otimes t^{m+n}) \right) \\ = \ & \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-n-1} \psi \left(a(m)(w_1 \otimes u \otimes t^n) \right) \\ = \ & \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-n-1} a(m) \psi(w_1 \otimes u \otimes t^n) \\ = \ & a(m) \hat{\psi}(w_1 \otimes u). \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Since $a \otimes t^m$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g},\ m \in \mathbb{Z}$ generate $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\hat{\psi}$ is a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module homomorphism. Clearly, $\hat{\psi} = 0$ implies $\psi = 0$. Then we obtain a one-to-one linear map from $\mathrm{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(W_1 \otimes U \otimes \mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}], W)$ to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(W_1 \otimes U(z), \overline{W})$ sending ψ to $\hat{\psi}$.

On the other hand, let ϕ be a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module homomorphism from $W_1 \otimes U(z)$ to $\overline{W}$. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, denote by p_λ^W the projection of $\overline{W}$ onto the homogeneous subspace $W(\lambda)$. We have

$$p_\lambda^W(a(m)\bar{w}) = a(m) p_{\lambda-m}^W(\bar{w}) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},\ \lambda \in \mathbb{C},\ m \in \mathbb{Z},\ \bar{w} \in \overline{W}. \tag{4.11}$$

Define a linear map $\tilde{\phi}$ from $W_1 \otimes U \otimes \mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$ to W by

$$\tilde{\phi}(w_1 \otimes u \otimes t^n) = z^{n+1} p^W_{\deg w_1 + n + 1} \phi(w_1 \otimes u) \tag{4.12}$$

for homogeneous vector $w_1 \in W_1$ and for $u \in U,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We now show that $\tilde{\phi}$ is a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-module homomorphism. Let $w_1 \in W_1$ be homogeneous and let $a \in \mathfrak{g},\ u \in U,\ m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Noticing that $\deg a(m)w_1 = \deg w_1 + m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\phi}(a(m)(w_1 \otimes u \otimes t^n)) \\
= & \tilde{\phi}(a(m)w_1 \otimes u \otimes t^n + w_1 \otimes au \otimes t^{m+n}) \\
= & z^{n+1} p^W_{\deg w_1+m+n+1} \phi(a(m)w_1 \otimes u) + z^{m+n+1} p^W_{\deg w_1+m+n+1} \phi(w_1 \otimes au) \\
= & z^{n+1} p^W_{\deg w_1+m+n+1} \phi\left(a(m)(w_1 \otimes u)\right) \\
= & z^{n+1} p^W_{\deg w_1+m+n+1} a(m)\phi(w_1 \otimes u) \\
= & z^{n+1} a(m) p^W_{\deg w_1+n+1} \phi(w_1 \otimes u) \\
= & a(m)\tilde{\phi}(w_1 \otimes u \otimes t^n).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

This shows that $\tilde{\phi}$ is indeed a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-module homomorphism.

For $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(W_1 \otimes U(z), W)$, set $\psi = \tilde{\phi}$. For homogeneous vector $w_1 \in W_1$ and for $u \in U$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\psi}(w_1 \otimes u) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-n-1} \psi(w_1 \otimes u \otimes t^n) \\
&= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-n-1} \tilde{\phi}(w_1 \otimes u \otimes t^n) \\
&= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} p^W_{\deg w_1+n+1} \phi(w_1 \otimes u) \\
&= \phi(w_1 \otimes u).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

This shows that the linear map $\psi \mapsto \hat{\psi}$ is also onto.

To summarize we have:

Proposition 4.2. *Let W_1, W be upper truncated $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules of level ℓ and let U be a $\mathfrak{g}$-module and z a nonzero complex number. Then the map $\psi \mapsto \hat{\psi}$ from $\mathrm{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(W_1 \otimes U \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}], W)$ to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(W_1 \otimes U(z), \overline{W})$ is a linear isomorphism. The inverse map is given by $\phi \mapsto \tilde{\phi}$.*

Let ℓ be any complex number. Take U to be the one-dimensional trivial $\mathfrak{g}$-module $\mathbb{C}$ in the (2.13) and set

$$V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0) = M_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, \mathbb{C}), \tag{4.15}$$

which is usually called the vacuum $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module. It is well known ([FZ], [Lia], [Li2], [LL]) that $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ has a natural vertex algebra structure. It is also known ([Li2], [LL], cf. [FZ]) that a module for $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ (as a vertex algebra) exactly amounts to a restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module of level ℓ.

For the rest of this section we assume that $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a standard affine Lie algebra (with $\mathfrak{g}$ a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra and with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the normalized Killing form). For any complex number ℓ not the negative dual Coxeter number of $\mathfrak{g}$, $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ equipped with a canonical conformal vector is a vertex operator algebra (cf. [FZ]). For any restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module W of level ℓ, W is naturally a module for $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ viewed as a vertex algebra, then W is naturally a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-module with $\mathbf{d}$ acting as $\alpha - L(0)$ where α is any complex number (cf. [LL]). Denote by $L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ the simple quotient vertex operator algebra of $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$. If ℓ is a positive integer, it was proved ([FZ], [DL], cf. [Li2]) that irreducible modules for $L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ viewed as a vertex operator algebra are exactly the irreducible highest weight integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules of level ℓ. Up to isomorphism, irreducible highest weight integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules of level ℓ are $L(\ell, \lambda)$ where λ is a dominant integrable weight for $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\langle \lambda, \theta \rangle \leq \ell$ (see [K1]).

In [FHL], among other important results, for a general vertex operator algebra V and for V-modules W_1, W_2 and W_3, a notion of fusion rule of type $\binom{W_3}{W_1 W_2}$ was defined. Furthermore, in [FZ], a conceptual method for determining fusions was developed in terms of Zhu's algebra and this method was applied to the case with $V = L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$. In [Li3], a certain analogue of the classical hom-functor for vertex operator algebras was developed and by using this analogue it was proved ([Li3], Proposition 4.15) that the fusion rule of type $\binom{L(\ell,\nu)}{L(\ell,\lambda) L(\ell,\mu)}$ for the vertex operator algebra $L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ equals the dimension of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(L(\ell, \lambda) \otimes L(\mu) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}], L(\ell, \nu))$, where $L(\mu)$ denotes the irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-module of highest weight μ. Combining this with Proposition 4.2 we immediately have:

Proposition 4.3. *Let ℓ be a positive integer and let $L(\ell, \lambda), L(\ell, \mu)$ and $L(\ell, \nu)$ be highest weight irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules of level ℓ. Then the fusion rule of type $\binom{L(\ell,\nu)}{L(\ell,\lambda) L(\ell,\mu)}$ for the vertex operator algebra $L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ equals the dimension of* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(L(\ell, \lambda) \otimes L(\mu)(z), \overline{L(\ell, \nu)})$.

Remark 4.4. It was proved in [CP2] that for a highest weight irreducible integrable $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-module W and a finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-module U, $W \otimes U \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ is an irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-module if W and U satisfy certain conditions. In [A], the irreducibility of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules $W \otimes U \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ for certain nonintegrable $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules W was studied in terms of vertex operator algebra $L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ and fusion rules, and certain interesting results were obtained in [A].

References

[A] D. Adamovic, Vertex operator algebras and irreducibility of certain modules for affine Lie algebras, *Math. Research Letters* **4** (1997), 809-821.

[B] R. E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 3068-3071.

[C] V. Chari, Integrable representations of affine Lie algebras, *Invent. Math.* **85** (1986), 317-335.

[CP1] V. Chari and A. N. Presslely, New unitary representations of loop groups, *Math. Ann.* **275** (1986), 87-104.

[CP2] V. Chari and A. N. Presslely, A new family of irreducible, integrable modules for affine Lie algebras, *Math. Ann.* **277** (1987), 543-562.

[CP3] V. Chari and A. N. Presslely, Integrable representations of twisted affine Lie algebras, *J. Algebra* **113** (1988), 438-464.

[Di] J. Dixmier, *Enveloping Algebras*, (The 1996 Printing of the 1977 English Translation), Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. II, American Mathematical Society, 1996.

[DL] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, *Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators*, Progress in Math., **Vol.** 112, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.

[DLM] C. Dong, H.-S. Li and G. Mason, Regularity of rational vertex operator algebras, *Adv. Math.* **132** (1997), 148-166.

[FHL] I. Frenkel, Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **104**, 1993.

[FLM] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Pure and Appl. Math., **Vol. 134**, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.

[FZ] I. Frenkel and Y.-C. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123-168.

[HL] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, A theory of tensor product for module category of a vertex operator algebra, I, *Selecta Mathematica*, **1** (1995), 699-756.

[H] J. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory,* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.

[K1] V. G. Kac, Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras, 3rd edition, Cambridge University Press, 1990.

[K2] V. G. Kac, Constructing groups associated to infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, in: *Infinite-dimensional groups with applications,* MSRI publ. 4, Springer-Verlag, 1985, 167-216.

[LL] J. Lepowsky and H.-S. Li, *Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations*, Birkhäuser, Boston, to appear.

[Li1] H.-S. Li, Representation theory and tensor product theory for vertex operator algebras, Ph.D. thesis, Rutgers University, 1994.

[Li2] H.-S. Li, Local systems of vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and modules, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* **109** (1996), 143-195; hep-th/9406185.

[Li3] H.-S. Li, An analogue of the hom functor and a generalized nuclear democracy theorem, *Duke Math. J.* **93** (1998), 73-114.

[Li4] H.-S. Li, Simple vertex operator algebras are nondegenerate, *J. Algebra*, to appear.

[Lia] B. Lian, On the classification of simple vertex operator algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **163** (1994), 307-357.